

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,140	02/28/2002	Gerald Berger	PHO 98-520A	3469
24737	7590 08/11/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS IN P.O. BOX 30	TELLECTUAL PROP	ONUAKU, CHRISTOPHER O		
	BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			PAPER NUMBER
			2621	

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)			
		10/086,140	BERGER, GERALD			
	Offic Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
		Christopher Onuaku	2621			
	- The MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		mis action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disp sition of Claims						
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-10</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-10</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Pri rity u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Amark	V-1					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/28/02. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/086,140

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1,2,5-6,9&10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Menard et al (US 6,061,056).

Regarding claim 1, Menard et al disclose in Fig.1,2&8 a system for automatically monitoring broadcasts, such as television broadcasts, and detecting content of particular interest to individual viewers, comprising:

- a) receiving means for receiving a program signal and an information signal containing program information (see Fig.1&2; tuner 2; col.4, line 54 to col.5, line 19, which receives the incoming audio, video and closed caption signals), here users are alerted to program content by continuous checking of the closed caption text stream;
- b) recording means for recording a processed received program signal of a program (see storage 20; col.5, lines 51-55);

Art Unit: 2621

c) selection means for selecting at least one program having information elements contained in the program information (see the user entering data either through LAN-connected PC 8 or the workstation 3; col.5, lines 23-28), the information elements comprising at least one given keyword characterized in that the recording arrangement further comprises keyword detection means for detecting, as a detected keyword, at least one item of fragment information of the information elements of a program recorded by the recording means as a processed received program signal, the keyword detection means providing at least one detected keyword to the selection means as the at least one given keyword (see col.5, lines 3-38).

Regarding claim 2, Menard et al disclose wherein further comprises a keyword memory for storing the at least one keyword detected by the keyword detection means (see database 18; col.5, lines 41-50).

Regarding claim 5, Menard et al discloses wherein the recording arrangement further comprises a program memory for storing program information derived from a received information signal (see database 18; col.5, lines 41-55).

Regarding claim 6, Menard et al disclose wherein the recording arrangement further comprises:

a) display signal generating means (Fig.9; video display generator 64 and closed caption display generator 66; col.8, lines 39-45), the display signal generating means

Application/Control Number: 10/086,140 Page 4

Art Unit: 2621

being manually activable for generating a display signal representing program information of selected programs (see Fig.3; col.6, line 56 to col.7, line 4; Fig.7, col.7, lines 30-38), here in the stored data handling process, user enters keyword for search through computer keyboard, system searches the closed caption file for matching keywords and displays list of matching segments. System then prompts user to make a selection from the displayed list of matching segments, which user does using a mouse, for example, (see col.7, lines 65-67). Examiner reads user selection from the displayed list as manual activation;

- b) output means for applying the display signal to display means capable of displaying a list of recording suggestions containing program information of at least one selected program (see Fig.8&9; personal computer 30 as output means, and video display unit 28; col.7, line 39 to col.8, line 57; and Fig.13; col.9, lines 21-25);
- c) recording programming means for manually marking the program information of one of the selected and displayed programs, whereby the recording arrangement is programmable to record the marked program (see Fig.13; col.9, lines 21-25; Fig.6, col.7, lines 18-26); here examiner reads "marking the program information" as using a mouse of Windows style control bar, for example, to indicate selected program information from a displayed list of program information; and furthermore, Fig.6 shows the detail of alert handling procedure. It is pertinent to point out that when, for instance, a selected keyword appears in the closed caption data stream, the system generates an alert signal to alert the user (see col.5, lines 28-38).

Regarding claim 9, Menard et al disclose wherein the recording means automatically records a program selected by the selection means (see Fig.6, col.7, lines 18-26).

Regarding claim 10, Menard et al disclose wherein the receiving means is capable of receiving an information signal from a computer data network (see Fig.10, col.8, line 58 to col.9, line 12); here a computer network feed arrangement is disclosed wherein the incoming broadcast signals are received by a network server.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Menard et al in view of Koshiba et al (US 5,787,426).

Regarding claim 7, Menard et al fail to disclose wherein the keyword detection means detects as keywords only fragment information having a minimum number of characters. Koshiba et al teach a technique for sorting character data and forming a thesaurus on the basis of a data sorting tree which the relationship of keywords or derivatives extracted by the keywords or derivatives extracting techniques comprising keyword candidate creator section 12 which outputs, as keyword candidates, partial

character strings each being included in the title of the example inputted, and each having a number of characters which is within a predetermined range. This partial character string having a number of characters larger than 3 (minimum number of characters) but smaller than 12 (maximum number of characters), is output as a keyword candidate. A list of partial character strings which fall within the predetermined character range is extracted as for that of keyword candidates (see Fig.1,4,5,7&14; col.20, lines 50-64; and col.22, lines 3-16).

Using keyword derivation technique of the keyword candidate creator section 12 wherein keywords are selected from keywords candidates whose character size falls within a range of a predetermined minimum and a predetermined maximum facilitates the keyword selection process by making keyword selection simple.

It would have been obvious to modify Menard et al by realizing Menard et al with a keyword candidate creator section, for example, which outputs, as keyword candidates, partial character strings each being included in the example inputted, and each having a number of characters which is within a predetermined minimum and maximum character range, as taught by Koshiba, since this facilitates the keyword selection process by making keyword selection simple.

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Menard et al in view of Imanaka et al (US 6,240,378).

Application/Control Number: 10/086,140

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 8, Menard et al fail to disclose wherein the recording arrangement further comprises an exclusion memory for storing at least fragment information which is to be excluded as a keyword by the keyword detection means.

Imanaka et al teach an information abstracting method, an information abstracting apparatus, and a weighting method, which can be used when extracting prescribed keywords from a plurality of character string data sets divided into prescribed units, wherein exception keywords that can not by used as keywords (see such keywords as shown in Table 21 of column 40) are stored in the exception keyword storing section 91 of Fig.24 (see col.39, line 63 to col.40, line 57; and col.49, lines 21-29).

The exception keywords are keywords not significant in expressing a topic, such as articles "a", "the", prepositions, etc, in the English language, can be excluded.

It would have been obvious to modify Menard et al by identifying and storing exception keywords, as taught by Imanaka et al, since the exception keywords are keywords not significant in expressing a topic, such as articles "a", "the", prepositions, etc, in the English language. In this way, when extracting or choosing a keyword from, for example, data written in the English language, any keyword identical to a keyword stored in the exception keyword storing means is excluded from the group of keywords to be chosen or extracted (see col.11, lines 34-44), thereby simplifying the searching process, by not searching such exception keywords as "a", "the", etc.

Art Unit: 2621

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Onuaku whose telephone number is 571-272-7379. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on 571-272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

8/4/06.

James J. Groody Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 262 262

Page 8